



# Air Quality Monthly Report

## August, 2015



Department of Environment  
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change  
Bangladesh

# Content

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Monitoring Network.....	2
3. Monthly Air Quality.....	3
4. Summary and conclusion.....	4
5. ANNEX.....	9

## 1. Introduction

Air quality management plans based on knowledge of sources, appropriate air quality standards, accurate air quality data, and effective incentives; and enforcement policies is therefore needed to be adopted.

At this backdrop, real-time measurements of ambient level pollutants were made at 8 major cities (Namely, Dhaka, Narayanganj, Gazipur, Chittagong, Rajshahi, Khulna, Barisal and Sylhet) of Bangladesh. The data generated will be used to define the nature and severity of pollution in the cities; identify pollution trends in the country; and develop air models and emission inventories.

The program encompasses operation of the sampling and monitoring network, and quality assurance activities to ensure the quality of the data collected and disseminated by the CASE project.

CASE project monitors the criteria pollutants such as carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, sulfur dioxide, PM10 and PM2.5. Monitoring is performed to demonstrate attainment or non-attainment of national ambient air quality standards to assess the trends of air pollution levels.

The main purpose of this report is to present, analyze and make available of these data to the general public, stakeholders, researchers and policy makers to develop effective air pollution abatement strategies. This report summarizes the air quality data collected at the different CAMS in operation under the Department of Environment (DoE) air quality monitoring network.

The basis for discussion of air quality has been the data collected from the Air Quality monitoring Network stations under DoE. The data have been quality controlled and the air pollution levels have been compared to the Bangladesh Ambient Air Quality Standard as adopted in 2005. Table 1 represents the current and approved air quality standards for Bangladesh.

Table 1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Bangladesh

Pollutant	Objective	Average
CO	10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (9 ppm)	8 hours(a)
	40 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (35 ppm)	1 hour(a)
Pb	0.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual
NO <sub>x</sub>	100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.053 ppm)	Annual
PM10	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual (b)
	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	24 hours (c)
PM2.5	15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual
	65 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	24 hours
O <sub>3</sub>	235 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.12 ppm)	1 hour (d)
	157 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.08 ppm)	8 hours
SO <sub>2</sub>	80 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.03 ppm)	Annual
	365 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (0.14 ppm)	24 hours (a)

Notes:

- (a) Not to be exceeded more than once per year
- (b) The objective is attained when the annual arithmetic mean is less than or equal to 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup>
- (c) The objective is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average of 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is equal to or less than 1
- (d) The objective is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with the maximum hourly average of 0.12 ppm is equal to or less than 1 (Source: AQMP, DOE).

## 2. Monitoring Network

The main objective of the Bangladesh AQM network is to provide reliable information to the authorities and to the public about the air quality in most populous cities of Bangladesh.

As a part of the air quality monitoring strategy, several objectives can be achieved, including:

- Establish source/receptor relationships;
- Identify which are the pollutants of concern and their current status;
- Show how widespread air pollution problems are and indicate the general extent of the public exposure;
- Provide benchmarks against which trends in overall air quality can be compared and devise performance indicators for assessing the impact of an air quality management plan or strategy;
- Provide a data base for evaluation of effects; of urban, land use management, and transportation planning; of development and evaluation of abatement strategies; and of development and validation of atmospheric processes and models.

Another objective in the monitoring and management programme is to provide input data for modeling. These data will serve as a background for performing air quality planning and abatement studies. Model results may also serve as input to other studies such as health related investigations and exposure assessments.

The ambient air quality monitoring network Bangladesh consists of eleven (11) fixed Continuous Air Monitoring Stations (CAMS). The locations of the 11 CAMS are shown in Figure 1. Brief description of the monitoring stations and the list of measured parameters recorded at each station are provided in Table 2.

Table 2: Description of Monitoring Network:

City	ID	Location	Lat/Lon	Monitoring capacity
Dhaka	CAMS-1	Sangshad Bhaban, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar	23.76N 90.39E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC concentrations with meteorological parameters.
	CAMS-2	Farmgate	23.76N 90.39E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological parameters.
	CAMS-3	Darus-Salam	23.78N 90.36E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Gazipur	CAMS-4	Gazipur	23.99N 90.42E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Narayangonj	CAMS-5	Narayangonj	23.63N 90.51E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Chittagong	CAMS-6	TV station, Khulshi	22.36N 91.80E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological parameters.
	CAMS-7	Agrabad	22.32N 91.81E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Sylhet	CAMS-8	Red Crecent Campus	24.89N 91.87E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.
Khulna	CAMS-9	Baira	22.48N 89.53E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological

City	ID	Location	Lat/Lon	Monitoring capacity
				parameters
Rajshahi	CAMS-10	Sopura	24.38N 88.61E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX, O3, and HC with meteorological Parameters.
Barisal	CAMS-11	DFO office campus	22.71N 90.36E	PM10, PM2.5, CO, SO2, NOX and O3 with meteorological parameters.



Figure 1: CAMS Location in Bangladesh

Monitoring data from network stations are transferred to a central data centre at the Department of Environment office in Dhaka and simultaneously transferred to Air Quality Management System based on NILU AIRQus system established under BAPMAN project. The data are stored in AIRQus database for quality check, control, evaluation, validation, statistical analysis. Quality controlled data are then stored in the final database for further analysis, reporting, presentations and future use.

### 3. Monthly Air Quality

The data presented in this report are based on monitoring of air quality parameters during August, 2015 at 11 CAMS operated under CASE-DoE monitoring network. Table-3 summarizes the basic statistics of the data along with the data capture rate and the number of days for which specific pollutant exceeded the Bangladesh National Ambient Air Quality Standard (BNAAQs). Since NO<sub>x</sub> have only annual standard, so for this pollutant daily 24-hours average concentration levels were compared with the annual average. During data quality control some data, which are outliers (beyond 3<sup>rd</sup> and 97<sup>th</sup> percentile) and inconsistent data, were flagged as invalid and those were not included in the analysis. Time series plots based on the data generated in the CAMS are also given in Annexes.

In general the data availability (valid data) found to be over 80% except few parameters in some CAMS in operation. During the reporting month several analyzers (especially SO<sub>2</sub> & NO<sub>x</sub>) were not operational due to routine preventive/corrective maintenance. The data capture rate of Sangsad Bhaban CAMS was below 70% due to malfunction of UPS. The same thing happened in Sylhet CAMS due to SQL server problem. In case of data capture rate for specific pollutant below 75% for a particular averaging time are not reported. Khulna, BARC, TV-Station Chittagong and Rajshahi CAMS are under repair & Maintenance.

Inspection of the available data shows that there were no occurrences of non-compliance for PM<sub>10</sub> & PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels at all monitoring stations during the month of August, 2015. It is observed that the 24 hr average concentration level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> at all CAMS did not exceed BNAAQS. There were no PM<sub>2.5</sub> results from Khulna and Sangsad CAMS due to malfunction/ poor data capture rate. There were no PM<sub>10</sub> results from TV Station Chittagong. The PM results is reported in the month for BARC CAMS, Sangsad, CDA Agrabad, Sylhet & Narayonganj CAMS in spite of the range of data capture rate was 30-55%. The monthly average concentration level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> measured at different CAMS were found 19-39 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 37-98 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively during the month of August, 2015. That concentration level of those was found 19-35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 43-69 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively during the month of July, 2015. From the time series plot of both PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, it is seen in all cases PM concentrations lower than the BNAAQS. 24-hours average PM levels in all cities monitored are found lower compared to winter season because rainy season starts and wind speed & precipitation is increased. Higher wind speed increases dispersion and occurrences of rainfall helps washing out of particulate matter and thus decreases the PM pollution levels. It is also observed that gaseous pollutants measured at different CAMS did not exceed the BNAAQS during the month of August, 2015.

In general PM pollution levels in the cities monitored during the reporting month found lower and similar compared to previous month in respect of public health. Usually in the dry seasons the pollution level reached highest peak and gradually decreases during wet season, which is reflected in the data monitored in all CAMS during the month of August, 2015. It is observed that average wind speed and precipitation compared to winter season has increased, which increases the rate of dispersion of the pollutants and this might be a reason for observed lower PM concentration.

Daily air quality index (AQI) values were calculated based on the available air quality data and summary of the AQI by categories are presented in annex Figure 5. Due to data unavailability AQI values for Sangsad, BARC and Khulna could not be included. Summary data shows majority of the days AQI values were in moderate & Good and few caution categories.

#### **4. Summary and conclusion**

Data obtained from CAMS operated under DoE air quality monitoring network during August, -2015 have been analyzed and reported. Data availability was 65-80% for all the criteria pollutant monitored at different CAMS with few exceptions. Air quality data for some pollutants were not reported because either the analyzer was not functional or the data capture rate was too low. From the analysis of the data following conclusion can be drawn:

- PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are the most critical pollutants. 24-hour average for both PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were found mostly lower than the BNAAQS during the month of August, 2015 with few exceptions. It is observed that the average concentration level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> measured at different CAMS were 19-39 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 37-98 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively during the month of August, 2015
- The gaseous pollutants measured at different CAMS did not exceed limit values of the BNAAQS.

- Due to increasing average wind speed and increasing precipitation during August, 2015, dispersion and wash out of pollutants increases and thus the pollution concentration levels showed lower.
- Monthly summary of calculated AQI values based on data from different CAMS showed that during this month most of day's air quality was either moderate or good & few caution categories as well. In all cases most frequent responsible pollutant was PM2.5.

During the reporting month number of analyzer especially analyzers of old CAMS and SO<sub>2</sub> & NO<sub>x</sub> of new CAMS did not produced good data and they are under maintenance process. Procurement of necessary spares for repairing the analyzers of old CAMS is completed.

Table 3: Summary Air Quality and Meteorological data measured during August, 2015 at different CAMS operated under DoE

Parameter	unit	NAAQS	Summary	CAMS-1 (S-Bhaban)	CAMS-2 (BARC) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-3 (D-salam)	CAMS-4 (Gazipur)	CAMS-5 (Narayonganj)	CAMS-6 TV-St (Chittagong) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-7 Agrabad-(Chittagong)	CAMS-8 (Sylhet)	CAMS-9 (Khulna) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-10 (Rajshahi) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-11 (Barisal)	
SO <sub>2</sub> -24 hr	ppb	140	Average	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Max	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Min	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Excedance(Days)	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*
NO <sub>2</sub> -24 hr	ppb	53 (Annual)	Average	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	10.3	DNA*	DNA*	16.1	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Max	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	19.9	DNA*	DNA*	21.6	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Min	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	3.55	DNA*	DNA*	12.1	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Excedance(Days)	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	0	DNA*	DNA*	0	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	80	DNA*	DNA*	56	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
CO- 1 hr	ppm	35	Average	DNA*	1.73	1.27	DNA*	0.62	0.44	DNA*	DNA*	0.35	0.51	0.97	
			Max	DNA*	5.4	2.20	DNA*	1.31	1.52	DNA*	DNA*	2.06	1.44	1.80	
			Min	DNA*	0.05	0.76	DNA*	0.34	0.05	DNA*	DNA*	0.05	0.13	0.57	
			Excedance(Hour)	DNA*	0	0	DNA*	0	0	DNA*	DNA*	0	0	0	
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	74	97	DNA*	80	57	DNA*	DNA*	66	85	93	
CO-8hr	ppm	9	Average	DNA*	1.78	1.27	DNA*	0.61	0.48	DNA*	DNA*	0.36	0.51	0.97	
			Max	DNA*	4.29	1.81	DNA*	1.12	0.95	DNA*	DNA*	1.96	0.82	1.39	
			Min	DNA*	0.23	0.83	DNA*	0.37	0.09	DNA*	DNA*	0.11	0.32	0.79	
			Excedance(Hour)	DNA*	0	0	DNA*	0	0	DNA*	DNA*	0	0	0	
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	71	97	DNA*	76	50	DNA*	DNA*	57	82	92	
O <sub>3</sub> -1hr	ppb	120	Average	DNA*	4.87	DNA*	2.07	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	4.39	2.31	3.38	
			Max	DNA*	18.8	DNA*	16.8	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	14.9	14.0	10.2	
			Min	DNA*	0.57	DNA*	0.09	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	1.25	0.05	0.78	
			Excedance(Hour)	DNA*	0	DNA*	0	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	0	0	0	
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	89	DNA*	94	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	89	75	94	
O <sub>3</sub> -8hr	ppb	80	Average	DNA*	4.89	DNA*	2.05	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	4.45	2.28	3.39	
			Max	DNA*	11.3	DNA*	10.3	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	13.3	9.18	8.56	
			Min	DNA*	1.09	DNA*	0.15	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	1.58	0.36	0.95	
			Excedance(Hour)	DNA*	0	DNA*	0	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	0	0	0	
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	89	DNA*	96	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	84	70	92	

CAMS= Continuous Air Monitoring Station, NAAQS=National Ambient Air Quality Standard, a=Refurbishment CAMS, PM= Particulate Matter

DNA= Data Not Available, \*=DNA due to malfunction of the analyzer/sensor or poor data capture rate

Table 3: Summary Air Quality and Meteorological data measured during August, 2015 at different CAMS operated under DoE (Cont'd)

Parameter	unit	NAAQS	Summary	CAMS-1 (S-Bhaban)	CAMS-2 (BARC) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-3 (D-salam)	CAMS-4 (Gazipur)	CAMS-5 (Narayonganj)	CAMS-6 TV-St (Chittagong) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-7 Agrabad-(Chittagong)	CAMS-8 (Sylhet)	CAMS-9 (Khulna) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-10 (Rajshahi) <sup>a</sup>	CAMS-11 (Barisal)	
PM <sub>2.5</sub> -24hr	µg /m <sup>3</sup>	65	Average	DNA*	39.4	32.1	31.8	26.7	28.4	23.5	26.3	DNA*	19.3	34.0	
			Max	DNA*	49.2	49.0	60.0	39.3	64	25.6	43.4	DNA*	36.6	53.9	
			Min	DNA*	29.3	16.7	13.6	13.7	13.1	20.3	15.1	DNA*	5.74	23.3	
			Excedance(Days)	DNA*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	DNA*	0	0
			Data capture(%)	DNA*	46	92	83	40	59	42	44	DNA*	76	86	
PM <sub>10</sub> -24hr	µg /m <sup>3</sup>	150	Average	55.9	58.1	50.1	60.8	98.9	DNA*	37.1	57.5	60.4	89.0	48.4	
			Max	64.8	84.6	79.4	98.2	134	DNA*	51.4	96.8	90.0	167	77.0	
			Min	46.4	34.4	32.5	34.5	59.7	DNA*	26.3	31.5	23.7	18.9	22.7	
			Excedance(Days)	0	0	0	0	0	DNA*	0	0	0	0	1	0
			Data capture(%)	39	30	49	83	75	DNA*	55	45	83	83	83	89
Solar rad. 1hr	watt/m <sup>2</sup>	NA	Average	123	DNA*	160	159	198	DNA*	175	151	DNA*	DNA*	152	
			Max	694	DNA*	945	980	925	DNA*	922	900	DNA*	DNA*	925	
			Min	6.09	DNA*	6.94	6.11	34.1	DNA*	7.28	5.98	DNA*	DNA*	8.02	
			Data capture(%)	40	DNA*	97	95	59	DNA*	66	56	DNA*	DNA*	94	
Relative Humidity 1hr	(%)	NA	Average	77.0	69.8	78.8	84.1	85.2	DNA*	80.9	83.9	DNA*	DNA*	85.7	
			Max	91.4	82.9	92.7	99.5	100	DNA*	94.3	98.2	DNA*	DNA*	97.0	
			Min	53.1	28.0	55.5	59.4	49.3	DNA*	55.2	51.8	DNA*	DNA*	60.1	
			Data capture(%)	40	90	97	95	78	DNA*	66	56	DNA*	DNA*	94	
Ambient Temp. 1hr	(°c)	NA	Average	26.9	24.0	29.6	28.6	DNA*	DNA*	27.9	27.9	DNA*	DNA*	29.5	
			Max	32.2	25.7	34.2	33.7	DNA*	DNA*	33.2	33.7	DNA*	DNA*	34.8	
			Min	22.4	13.4	25.2	24.7	DNA*	DNA*	24.7	24.5	DNA*	DNA*	25.8	
			Data capture(%)	40	90	97	95	DNA*	DNA*	66	56	DNA*	DNA*	94	
Rainfall 1hr	(m.m.)	NA	Average	0.77	2.23	0.09	0.23	0.58	DNA*	0.38	0.51	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Max	1.34	11.9	20.0	7.50	3.53	DNA*	12.5	12.2	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Min	0.23	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.09	DNA*	0.02	0.02	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	
			Data capture(%)	40	71	89	70	79	DNA*	47	36	DNA*	DNA*	DNA*	

CAMS= Continuous Air Monitoring Station, NAAQS=National Ambient Air Quality Standard, a=Refurbishment CAMS, PM= Particulate Matter

DNA= Data Not Available, \*=DNA due to malfunction of the analyzer/sensor or poor data capture rate

FIGURE 3: TIME SERIES OF ALL PARAMETERS (SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> AND O<sub>3</sub>) MEASURED IN ALL CAMS DURING AUGUST, 2015






Figure 4: TIME SERIES OF ALL PARAMETERS (CO, PM10 AND PM2.5) MEASURED IN CAMS DURING AUGUST, 2015







Figure 5: Monthly Summary of AQI for month of August, 2015

