

Competitive Research Grant

Sub-Project Completion Report

on

Rooftop Gardening: An Initiative to Spread Urban Horticulture with Changing Environment

Project Duration

July 2017 to September 2018

**Department of Agricultural Botany
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207**



Submitted to
Project Implementation Unit-BARC, NATP-2
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
Farmgate, Dhaka-1215



September 2018

Competitive Research Grant (CRG)

Sub-Project Completion Report

on

Rooftop Gardening: An Initiative to Spread Urban Horticulture with Changing Environment

Project Duration

July 2017 to September 2018

Department of Agricultural Botany
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207

Submitted to

**Project Implementation Unit-BARC, NATP-2
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
Farmgate, Dhaka-1215**



September 2018

Citation

M. M. Islam. 2018. Rooftop Gardening: An Initiative to Spread Urban Horticulture with Changing Environment. A report of Competitive Research Grant Sub-Project under National Agricultural Technology Program-Phase II Project (NATP-2), Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC), Farmgate, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Project Implementation Unit
National Agricultural Technology Program-Phase II Project (NATP-2)
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC)
New Airport Road, Farmgate, Dhaka - 1215
Bangladesh

Edited and Published by:

Project Implementation Unit
National Agricultural Technology Program-Phase II Project (NATP-2)
Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC)
New Airport Road, Farmgate, Dhaka - 1215
Bangladesh

Acknowledgement

The execution of CRG sub-project has successfully been completed by Department of Agricultural Botany, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University using the research grant of USAID Trust Fund and GoB through Ministry of Agriculture. We would like to thank to the World Bank for arranging the grand fund and supervising the CRGs by BARC. It is worthwhile to mention the cooperation and quick responses of PIU-BARC, NATP 2, in respect of field implementation of the sub-project in multiple sites. Preparing the project completion report required to contact a number of persons for collection of information and processing of research data. Without the help of those persons, the preparation of this document could not be made possible. All of them, who made it possible, deserve thanks. Our thanks are due to the Director PIU-BARC, NATP 2 and his team who given their whole hearted support to prepare this document. We hope this publication would be helpful to the agricultural scientists of the country for designing their future research projects in order to technology generation as well as increasing production and productivity for sustainable food and nutrition security in Bangladesh. It would also assist the policy makers of the agricultural sub-sectors for setting their future research directions.

Published in: September 2018

Printed by: [Name of press with full address]

Acronyms

%	=	Percent
°C	=	Degree Celsius
BARC	=	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
CH ₄	=	Methane
cm	=	Centi-meter
CO	=	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	=	Carbon dioxide
CV%	=	Percentage of Co-efficient of Variance
DAT	=	Days after transplanting
df	=	Degree of freedom
et. al.	=	And others
GHG	=	Green House Gases
GO	=	Government Organization
IF	=	Inorganic Fertilizer
LoA	=	Letter of Agreement
LSD	=	Least significant difference
N ₂ O	=	Nitrous oxide
NGO	=	Non-Government Organization
O ₂	=	Oxygen
PI	=	Principal Investigator
SAU	=	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University
Sig.	=	Significance
UHI	=	Urban Heat Island
VOC	=	Volatile organic compounds
w/w	=	Weight/Weight
WHO	=	World Health Organization

Table of Contents

SI No.	Subject	Page No.
	Acronyms	i
	Table f Contents	ii
	Executive summary	iii
A.	Sub-project Description	
	1. Title of the CRG sub-project	1
	2. Implementing organization	1
	3. Name and full address with phone, cell and e-mail of PI/Co-PI (s)	1
	4. Sub-project budget (Tk.):	1
	4.1 Total	1
	4.2 Revised (if any)	1
	5. Duration of the sub-project:	1
	5.1 Start date (based on LoA signed)	1
	5.2 End date	1
	6. Justification of undertaking the sub-project	1
	7. Sub-project goal	2
	8. Sub-project objective (s)	2
	9. Implementing location (s)	3
	10. Methodology in brief	3
	11. Results and discussion	16
	12. Research highlight/findings	38
	13. References	38
B.	Implementation Position	38
	1. Procurement	38
	2. Establishing/renovation facilities	38
	3. Training/study tour/seminar/workshop/conference organized	38
C.	Financial and physical progress	39
D.	Achievement of Sub-project by objectives: (Tangible form)	39
E.	Materials Development/Publication made under the Sub-project	40
F.	Technology/Knowledge generation/Policy support (as applied)	41
G.	Information regarding Desk and Field Monitoring	41
H.	Lesson Learned/Challenges (if any)	42
I.	Challenges (if any)	42

Executive Summary

Urbanization is a vital component of economic and social development of a country. Recently, urban agriculture is gaining recognition as alternative source of food and nutrition for city dwellers. Different models of urban agriculture such as vertical farming, community garden and rooftop garden have been developed and implemented throughout the world. In addition, it was also reported that urban agriculture in the form of rooftop garden improves air quality and decrease the urban heat island, extend roof life, reduce energy use, increase property value, increased biodiversity and site of crop production. Dhaka, ranked as the ninth largest megacity in the world, it's unplanned urbanization creates various environmental problems including, rising temperature and air pollution. In addition, now the vegetation is less than 9 % in the Dhaka city due to adding of concrete structure. Rooftop gardening in the form of urban agriculture in the Dhaka city has been practiced in a small scale due to lack of awareness about the importance of roof garden on conservation of environment and a source of food production, lack of knowledge and skill how to make a garden on the building roof, limited expertise and initiatives from GO and NGOs etc. Therefore, we developed a research based rooftop garden model (1400 ^{sqf}) on the building roof of Agricultural Botany Department, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University with iron frame structure on 2018 by the support of NATP-2 Project, BARC, Ministry of Agriculture, Bangladesh to investigate effects of roof gardening in urban surroundings and to find the agricultural technologies to establish sustainable roof gardening in the Dhaka city with changing environment.

In the rooftop garden, plant growing structures such as earthen and plastic pot, wooden and concrete bed, half drums and their sizes are major concern to grow different crops including, pepper, tomato, chili etc. Morphological, physiological and yield responses of tomato, cauliflower and cabbage were uneven to container sizes. In this study, we found both the concrete and wooden bed are the suitable plant growing structures than single earthen and plastic pot/tob for growing tomato as *rabi* season crops in the rooftop garden.

The growth, development and yield of field and garden crops are triggered by soil health and the composition of growing media, respectively. Respondents identified various technical constraints including plant growing media for the massive implementation of roof gardening in cities and roof environment is hostile and complicated to cultivate crops on the roof. Different kinds of organic fertilizers have been using under climate-smart agriculture techniques for spreading urban agriculture. We assumed that the application of cow dung and vermicompost in soil at different composition may contribute to enhance the yield of tomato as *rabi* season crop in the roof garden. In this study, we observed that the combined use of cow dung along with vermicompost in soil media gave better yield of tomato than sole application of cow dung and vermicompost.

CRG Sub-Project Completion Report (PCR)

A. Sub-project Description

1. **Title of the CRG sub-project:** Distribution, severity, species diversity and damage assessment of papaya mealybug
2. **Implementing organization:** Department of Entomology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh
3. Name and full address with phone, cell and E-mail of PI/Co-PI (s):

Principal Investigator: Dr. Mst Nur Mohal Akhter Banu

Associate Professor
Department of Entomology
Faculty of Agriculture
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka
Mobile: 01718740697
Email: nurmohalsau@yahoo.com

Co - Investigator:

Dr. M. A. Latif
Professor
Department of Entomology
Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka.
Mobile: 01552373406
Email: mdlatif15@yahoo.com

4. Sub-project budget (Tk): 1500000/-
 - 4.1 Total: 1500000/-
 - 4.2 Revised (if any): N/A
5. Duration of the sub-project:
 - 5.1 Start date (based on LoA signed): (based on LoA signed): May-2017
 - 5.2 End date: 30 September 2018

6. Justification of undertaking the sub-project:

The papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* is a Homopteran insect belonging to the family Pseudococcidae. It is polyphagous (Miller & Miller, 2002) in nature and sucks the sap from different parts of the plant. The leaves and all infested plant parts become crinkled, yellowish wither and dropped from the plants. The mealybug also injects a toxin as it feeds on leaves and fruit which results in chlorosis (yellowing), stunting, deform. The honeydew excreted by the bug is a good growing media of a fungus sooty mold which impairs photosynthetic efficiency of the

affected plants. Heavily attacked plants were killed (Walker *et al.*, 2003; Hue *et al.*, 2007). Mealybug also transmits viruses to the plant. It is a noxious insect pest attacking papaya and other agricultural plants of economic importance (Miller & Miller, 2002). It assumed the status of a major pest in India in 2009 when it caused severe damage to economically important crops and huge losses to farmers in Coimbatore, Erode, Tiruppur and Salem districts of Tamil Nadu (Tanwar *et al.*, 2010). In 2009, it was reported from Bangladesh and Maldives and in 2010 in Cambodia, the Philippines and Thailand (Muniappan, 2011). Within a short period of time, the newly introduced papaya mealybug has now been spread many parts of Bangladesh and emerged as a major threat to papaya along with different crops (Karim *et al.*, 2011). *P. marginatus* is newly invaded insect pest in Bangladesh and comprehensive research on papaya mealybug has not yet been undertaken in the country. A very few researches have been done in Bangladesh which was only on the management technique of papaya mealybug (Biswas *et al.*, 2015). So it is justifiable to do the basic research and explore its distribution, severity of attack and diversity of different species in Bangladesh. It was also desirable to undertake a preliminary assessment of damage prior to do the research on the management of this pest. Therefore, this study would provide a basis to design the efficient management techniques against this invasive pest.

7. Sub-project goal: know the distribution, severity of damage, species diversity and damage assessment of papaya mealybug.
8. Sub-project objective (s):
 - To know the distribution of papaya mealybug in Bangladesh
 - To determine the damage severity of papaya mealybug in major papaya growing districts of Bangladesh
 - To know the species diversity of papaya mealybug in Bangladesh
 - To assess the extent of loss caused by papaya mealybug.
9. Implementing location (s): Dhaka, Gazipur, Tangail, Narsingdi, Rajshahi, Bogura, Joypurhat, Natore, Pabna, and Kushtia.
10. Methodology in brief:

Study area

The present study was conducted in ten different districts of Bangladesh (Table 1). Dhaka, Gazipur, Tangail, Narsingdi, Rajshahi, Bogura, Joypurhat, Natore, Pabna, and Kushtia. The study area was taken with three upazilas of each district.

Unit of analysis

The unit of analysis of the study was the people who were engaged in papaya production (farmers).

Population and sampling

People who permanently reside in the selected upazila constituted the active population of the study. As all population of the study area could not possible to measure, head of the farm families of selected blocks of upazila (segmented by the Department of Agriculture Extension under the Ministry of Agriculture) of ten different districts were the population of the study. However, representative samples from the population were taken for collection of data following purposive sampling technique. One farmer (who operated farming activities of the family) from each farm family was considered as the respondent. Updated lists of all farm families of papaya producers of the selected blocks were prepared with the help of SAAO and local leader. Farm families who were engaged in papaya production and who provided the extension service to the papaya growers were considered as the study group. A purposive sampling procedure was followed to select ten districts from all over Bangladesh, and a random sampling method was used to select the upazila. Random sampling was also used to select the blocks of upazila as the study group. The total number of individuals sampled was estimated as many as 300 in the study area which is shown in Table 3. We collected data from 300 farmers (10 districts x 30 farmers).

Study Group (SG) Sampling

There are several methods for determining the sample size; here, we used Yamane's (1967) formula for study group:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 P(1-P)N}{Z^2 P(1-P) + N(e)^2}$$

Where,

n = Sample size;

N, Population size = 17548;

e, The level of precision = 7%;

z = the value of the standard normal variable given the

$z = 1.96$ with a confidence level of 95 %) and
P, The proportion or degree of variability = 50%;
The sample size (n) is = 300

Variables and their measurement techniques

In a descriptive social research, selection and measurement of the variable is an important task. A variable is any characteristics which can assume varying or different values are successive individuals' cases (Ezekiel & Fox, 1959). An organized research usually contains at least two identical elements i.e. independent and dependent variable. An independent variable is a factor which is manipulated by the researcher in his attempt to ascertain its relationship to an observed phenomenon. A dependent variable is a factor, which appears, disappears or varies as the experimenter introduces, removes or varies the independent variables (Townsend, 1953). According to the relevance of the research area, the researcher selected some characteristics of the respondents as the independent variables (e.g. Insecticides usage, insecticides sources, harmful effects for chemical insecticides usages). On the other hand, insect pest management practices were dependent variable consisted of the dimensions i.e. chemical management practices. The following sections contain procedures of measurement of dependent and independent variables of the study along with the assessment of insect pest of papaya. The procedure followed in measuring the independent variables have been discussed in the subsequent sections.

Insect pest occurrence in papaya orchard

Insect pest occurrence in papaya field was assessed by providing score. Score one was provided for yes and score zero was provided for no answer. Data obtained in response to the interview schedule are presented in APPENDIX-I.

Insect pest infestation status in papaya orchard

Insect pest infestation status in papaya orchard was assessed by providing score. Score one was provided for minor answer and scores two was provided for major answer. Data obtained in response to the interview schedule are presented in APPENDIX-I.

Data collection

Data were collected personally through personal interview schedule from the sampled papaya growers of the selected blocks. A rapport was established with the rural people so that they feel easy to answer

the questions. A possible care was taken to establish rapport with the respondents so that they would not feel any indecision while taking the interview. Very good cooperation was obtained from the UAO, field extension workers and growers of the study area. No serious difficulty was faced during the collection of data. Questions were asked in different ways so that the respondents could easily understand the questions. Whenever a respondent faced difficulty in understanding any question, care was taken to explain the same clearly with a view to enabling him to answer it properly.

Statistical analysis

Data collected from the respondents were analyzed and interpreted in accordance with the objectives of the study. The analysis of data was performed using statistical treatment with SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) computer program, version 20. Statistical measures as a number, range, mean, standard deviation and pearson's product moment correlation (r) were used in describing the variables whenever applicable.

11. Results and discussion

Experiment 1. Distribution and damage severity of papaya mealybug

The survey was conducted in 10 districts such as Dhaka, Gazipur, Tangail, Narsingdi, Rajshahi, Bogura, Joypurhat, Natore, Pabna, and Kushtia. Papaya mealybug was found in all locations under the selected districts (Table 1).

Table 1. Table1: Selected surveyed districts of Bangladesh

SI No.	Districts	Upazila	No. of orchard visited	Mealybug present or absent
01	Dhaka	Dhamrai Savar Keranigonj	09	+
02	Kushtia	Mirpur Daluatpur Veramara	09	+
03	Gazipur	Joydevpur Tongi Kaliakair	09	+
04	Pabna	Sadar Atghoria Ishwardi	09	+
05	Narsingdi	Polash Sadar Balavo	09	+
06	Tangail	Modhupur		

		Shokhipur Mirzapur	09	+
07	Joypurhat	Sadar Kalai Khetlal	09	+
08	Natore	Sadar Lalpur Bгатipara	09	+
09	Bogura	Sadar Dhunat Sherpur	09	+
10	Rajshahi	Tanor Godagari Bagha	09	+

‘+’ indicate the presence of papaya mealybug

Infestation intensity

Percent plant infestation was highest (46.16 ± 0.46) in Tangail district followed by Joypurhat (45.29 %) but lowest infestation was found (32.31 %) in Rajshahi. Similar trend of infestation was found in branch infestation. Regarding flower infestation highest 53.66 % infestation was found in Pabna and lowest 26.14 % was found in Rajshahi. Percent fruit infestation was highest 75.98 % in Joypurhat followed by Pabna (69.87 %) and lowest was found in Rajshahi (Table 2)

Table 2. Infestation intensity of papaya mealybug at farmer’s field in ten papaya growing districts under study

Districts	Plant infestation by papaya mealybug(%) (Mean $\pm$ Sd)	Branch infestation per infested plant(%) (Mean $\pm$ Sd)	papaya flower infestation per infested plant(%) (Mean $\pm$ Sd)	papaya fruit infestation per infested plant(%) (Mean $\pm$ Sd)
Rajshahi	32.31 ± 4.57	53.52 ± 6.09	26.14 ± 4.63	16.29 ± 9.37
Natore	44.35 ± 1.64	53.71 ± 3.21	48.88 ± 5.50	70.03 ± 7.73
Bogura	44.11 ± 1.19	50.37 ± 4.63	51.29 ± 1.50	64.97 ± 7.19
Joypurhat	45.29 ± 2.52	46.30 ± 1.70	50.16 ± 1.17	75.98 ± 1.48
Pabna	43.04 ± 1.27	63.15 ± 13.15	53.66 ± 2.69	69.87 ± 2.44
Kushtia	44.27 ± 1.45	62.41 ± 8.10	48.16 ± 0.94	61.99 ± 6.80
Tangail	46.16 ± 0.46	63.33 ± 8.94	45.71 ± 1.98	62.56 ± 6.15

Gazipur	44.12 ± 1.42	58.98 ± 2.52	48.24 ± 2.52	67.94 ± 1.61
Dhaka	44.63 ± 0.69	54.07 ± 4.49	49.73 ± 2.35	66.27 ± 2.49
Narsingdi	44.96 ± 1.31	55.74 ± 10.48	49.63 ± 2.50	65.39 ± 3.38

Pest's infestation on papaya observed by farmers

Information obtained from respondents by interview were measured, analyzed, tabulated and statistically treated according to the objectives of the study. This chapter has been discussed in five sections such as personal characteristics of the papaya growers, information about papaya cultivation, pests of papaya and information about mealybug.

Pest's occurrence in papaya orchard

According to the opinion expressed by the growers, papaya was infested by mealybug, whitefly, ant and mite in the orchard. Out of 300, all the papaya growers (100%) reported that papaya was infested in the field by mealybug. In case of multiple responses, 171 farmers (27.45%) reported whitefly, 25 farmers (4.01%) reported ant and 127 farmers (20.39%) reported mite as the pests of papaya in their field (Table 3).

Table 3. Response of the papaya growers on incidence of insect pest on papaya

Name of pests	Farmer's interviewed (N = 300)	Response (%)
Mealybug	300	48.15
Whitefly	171	27.45
Ant	25	4.01
Mite	127	20.39
Multiple response		100.00

Pest's infestation at different growing stages of papaya plant

Four pests viz., mealybug, whitefly, ant and mites were reported by papaya growers of which whitefly, ant and mite infestations were reported from seedling stage, vegetative and fruiting stage of the papaya (Table 4) but whitefly infestation was reported from vegetative stage of the papaya. Highest

infestation of mealybug, whitefly and ant (>80.0%) was reported at fruiting stage but maximum mite infestation (50.45%) was reported from vegetative stage.

Table 4. Response of the papaya growers on pest infestation status at different stages of papaya

Name of insect pests	Response on infestation at plant stages (%)		
	Seedling stage	Vegetative stage	Fruiting stage
Mealybug	4.01	15.87	80.12
Whitefly	0.0	7.24	92.76
Ant	5.25	14.10	80.65
Mites	34.87	50.45	14.68

Farmers response on infested plant parts of papaya

According to papaya growers leaf (17.83% farmers), stem (11.62% farmers), flower (34.24% farmers) and fruits (36.31% farmers) were infested by mealybug. Ant infestation was also reported from all plant parts (Table 5). But whitefly and mite infestation were reported from papaya leaves only.

Table 5. Farmers' information on infested plant parts of papaya by different pests

Name of insect pests	Farmers response on pests infestation on plant parts (%)			
	Leaf	Stem	Flower	Fruits
Mealybug	17.83	11.62	34.24	36.31
Whitefly	100.0	-	-	-
Ant	32.00	12.00	20.00	36.00
Mite	100.0	-	-	-

Farmers' information on severity of infestation of different pests

High severity of mealybug was reported by 43.83% growers and 47.83% reported medium severity of infestation on papaya. All farmers (100%) reported low severity of ant on papaya. High severity of whitefly and mite were also reported by 9.36% and 9.44% farmer's respectively (Table 6). Most of the farmers opined medium severity of whitefly (55.56%) and mite (59.06%) infestation on papaya.

Table 6. Response of the papaya growers on severity of infestation of four pests of papaya

Name of insect pests	Response on status of four pests (%)		
	Low	Medium	High
Mealybug	8.70	47.83	43.83
Whitefly	35.08	55.56	9.36
Ant	100.0	0.0	0.0
Mite	31.50	59.06	9.44

Farmers' information on status of insect pests of papaya

In responding status of four pests of papaya, 100% farmers reported mealybug as the major damaging pest of papaya (Table 7). Whitefly and mites were also reported as major pests by 35.23% and 24.76% growers respectively.

Table 7. Response of the papaya growers on status of different pests' infestation in papaya orchard

Name of insect pests	Response on status of four pests (%)	
	Major	Minor
Mealybug	100.0	0.00
Whitefly	35.23	64.77
Ant	0.0	100.00
Mite	24.76	75.24

Control measures of pests of papaya

Out of 300 respondents, all expressed their opinions that they controlled different pests by application of chemical pesticides. None of the farmers used cultural or biological pest control methods.

Insecticides uses against pests of papaya

According to the opinion expressed by the papaya growers, they sprayed marshal 20EC (23.76%) and sumithion 20EC (18.36%) @ 2.0 ml/L water, imitaf 20SL/tido 20SL (47.52%) @ 0.50 ml/L water and caught 10EC (10.36%) @ 1.0 ml/L water 3-4 times for controlling papaya mealybug (Table 8). They also applied imitaf 20SL/tido 20SL (70.18%) 0.50 ml/L water and aktara 20WG (29.82%) 0.50 g/L water 1-2

times against whitefly. Sunmectin 1.8EC (30.00% farmers), acamite 1.8EC (18.03% farmers) and vertimec (51.97% farmers) @ 2.0 ml/L were used 2-3 times against mite pest of papaya. Majority of the papaya growers used imitaf 20SL/ tido 20SL (Imidacloprid) for controlling mealybug and whitefly infesting papaya (Table 8)

Table 8. Response of the papaya growers on insecticide use in papaya orchard

Name of pests	Insecticides and their doses used against pests of papaya			Used frequency
	Insecticides	Response(%)	Dose	
Mealybug	Marshal 20EC	23.76	2.0 ml/L	3-4
	Sumithion 50EC	18.36	2.0 ml/L	
	Imitaf 20SL / Tido 20SL	47.52	0.50 ml/L	
	Caught 10EC	10.36	1.0 ml/L	
Whitefly	Imitaf 20SL/ Tido 20SL	70.18	0.5 ml/L	1-2
	Aktara 20WG	29.82	0.5 g/L	
Ant	No insecticide	100.0	-	-
Mite	Sunmectin 1.8EC	30.00	2.0 ml/L	2-3
	Acamite 1.8EC	18.03	2.0 ml/L	
	Vertimec 1.8EC	51.97	2.0 ml/L	

Farmers information on mealybug infestation on papaya

Out of 300 respondents, all expressed their opinions that that their papaya plant infested by mealybug. The details about mealybug infestation and control measures are discussed herein.

Growth stages of plant infesting mealybug

According to farmers' information, mealybug infestation occurred from seedling stage to mature plants. Majority of farmers (65.27%) opined that mealybug infestation occurred at fruiting stage of papaya followed by 24.78% reported at vegetative stage of plant infestation and 9.96% reported seedling infestation of papaya (Table 9).

Table 9. Response of the papaya growers on different growing stage of plant infested by mealybug in papaya orchard

Growing stage of plant	Farmers interviewed [N=300]	Response (%)
Seedling	45	9.96
Growing plant	112	24.78
Fruiting stage	295	65.27
Multiple response		100.00

Mealybug infested parts of papaya plant

Papaya growers opined that all parts of papaya plants viz. leaf, stem, flower and fruits were infested by mealybug. Majority of the farmers (36.31%) reported fruit infestation followed by flower, leaf and stem infestation as reported by 34.24%, 17.83% and 11.62% farmers respectively (Table 10).

Table 10. Farmers' information on infested parts of papaya plant by mealybug

Infested part of papaya	Farmers interviewed [N=300]	Response (%)
Leaf	112	17.83
Stem	73	11.62
Flower	215	34.24
Fruit	228	36.31
Multiple response		100

Severity of mealybug infestation

Papaya growers reported low to high level of mealybug infestation on papaya. Majority of the farmers (47.83%) reported medium level of mealybug infestation followed by 43.83% farmer's who reported high severity of mealybug infestation. Few farmers (8.70%) reported low severity of mealybug infestation (Table 11).

Table 11. Farmers' information on severity of mealybug infestation on papaya

Severity of mealybug infestation	Farmers interviewed [N=300]	Response (%)
Low	30	8.70
Medium	165	47.83
High	150	43.48
Multiple response		100

Time of mealybug infestation

According to farmers information, mealybug infestation occurred throughout the year. However majority of them (63.72%) reported that mealybug infestation occurred at summer season followed by winter season as reported by 30.28% farmers. Few farmers (5.99%) reported mealybug infestation during rainy season (Table 12) .

Table 12. Farmers' information on time of mealybug infestation on papaya

Season of mealybug infestation	Farmers interviewed [N=300]	Response (%)
Summer	202	63.72
Rainy	19	5.99
Winter	96	30.28
Multiple response		100.00

Control methods for mealybug

Farmers used cultural (clean cultivation), mechanical (hand collection and destruction) and chemical control for papaya mealybug. However majority of the farmers (96.42%) used chemical insecticides for controlling mealybug. Few farmers used cultural (1.95%) and mechanical (1.63%) methods for mealybug control (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Farmers' response on control methods against papaya mealybug.

Insecticides spray frequency for controlling mealybug

Farmers sprayed chemical insecticides 3-4 times for controlling papaya mealybug. Majority of the farmers (58.92%) sprayed insecticides 3 times but 41.08% of them sprayed insecticides 4 times (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Farmers' response on frequency of insecticides spray for controlling papaya mealybug.

Effectiveness of insecticides for controlling mealybug

In responding on the effectiveness of insecticides against papaya mealybug, 93.33% farmers reported that papaya mealybug was controlled after insecticides spray. Only 6.67 % farmers opined that papaya mealybug was not controlled by insecticides spray (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Farmers' response on effectiveness of insecticides against papaya mealybug.

Harmful effect of insecticides

Out of 300 papaya growers, 97.67% (292) opined that insecticides had harmful effect and only 2.33% (8) farmers were not conscious about side effect of insecticides (Figure 4). In responding on the hazardous effect of insecticides, 96.93% farmers informed that insecticides caused health hazard on human and 3.07% papaya growers reported harmful effect of insecticides on environment (Figure 5).




Figure 4. Farmers' response on harmful effect of insecticides.




Figure 5. Farmers' response on hazards of insecticides on human health and environment.

Experiment 2. Species diversity of papaya mealybug in laboratory

Materials and method

Biology studies of papaya mealybug was carried out in Entomology laboratory, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University. The individual leaves of host plants with petioles were removed and kept in Petri

dish lined with moist cotton cannot withstand for about one month even if the base of the petiole is covered with a water soaked cotton swab to prevent desiccation of leaf. Hence, the whole plant with intact root system was used in the study.

Duration of instars of male and female papaya mealybug

Each leaf was inoculated with eggs of papaya mealybug (1 egg sack leaf⁻¹) using a camel hair brush. All Petri dishes were observed daily for egg hatch. The interval between each moulting was checked by examining exuviae on the leaves using a hand lens (10 X magnification) and the exuviae were removed after each moulting. Morphological determination of all the instars was done under a stereo microscope in the laboratory. The number of days to egg hatch, emergence of first instars, duration of second instar males and females, duration of third instar males and females and duration of fourth instar males (pupa) were recorded.

Data collection

The adult female mealybugs were individually transferred to new leaf (leaves of plant lined with moistened cotton) for monitoring the reproductive period (oviposition and incubation). Adult longevity of five male and female mealybugs was observed daily until they died. Five egg sacs were taken and eggs were counted under stereo microscope.

11. Results and discussion

Results based on the specimens of papaya infesting mealybug collected from different locations in Bangladesh, their morphometric analysis of different stages and biology study indicate that only *Paracoccus marginatus* Williams and Granara de Willink was found to attack papaya plants. No other species of mealybug was found in 10 surveyed districts of Bangladesh. The detail morphometric characteristics of different stages of papaya mealybug and its biology have been described in below.

Biology of Papaya Mealybug

Egg sac

The papaya mealybug (*Paracoccus marginatus*) laid eggs in an egg sac. Each female lays one egg sac (Table 13) after laying the eggs female was died. Egg sac was made of whitish cottony layer (Plate 1).

Egg

Adult female lays 97-150 eggs per sac (Table 14). Eggs were yellowish color and oval shape (Plate 2). The length of egg was 0.35 ± 0.01 mm and the breadth was 0.14 ± 0.008 mm (Table 15). The average incubation

period of the eggs was 4-7 days. The oviposition period of a female was 5-8 days (Table 14). Chellappan, *et. al.* (2006) reported that the length of egg was $0.34\pm0.01\text{mm}$ and the breadth was $0.15\pm0.01\text{mm}$ which is also confirmed by the present study. They also reported that incubation period was 8.5 ± 0.85 days and oviposition period 7.8 ± 0.63 days is also close to the present study. Females usually lay 150-600 eggs in an ovisac. The results of the present study are lying between the ranges of these findings. Egg laying usually occurs over the period of 3-4 days, which is lower than the present study. Egg hatch in about ten days which is lower than the present study. However, this variation might be due to the environmental condition (Jithu, *et. al.*, 2016). The adult female of *P. marginatus* laid about 150 to 200 eggs inside the ovisacs which are close to the present study (Al-Hilal *et. al.*, 2012). Suganthi *et al.* (2011) reported that the period of egg was 6.33 ± 0.58 days and this finding is lying between the present studies. Females usually lay 100 to 600 eggs. Eggs are greenish yellow and are laid in an ovisac and these findings are similar to the present study but they also reported that egg laying usually continues over a period of one to two weeks and eggs hatch in about 10 days, these results are somewhat different to the present study. This variation might be occurred due to environmental condition or host species (Tanwar *et al.*, 2010).



Plate 1. Egg sac (30X)



Plate 2. Egg

(90X)




Plate 3. A mass of eggs (30X)



Plate 4. A mass of 1st Instar Nymph (30X)

Table 13. Number of eggs sac⁻¹, egg sac female⁻¹

Observations	Egg number	Mean± SD	Egg sac female ⁻¹
1	97.00		1.0
2	120.0		1.0
3	118.0	123±19.52	1.0
4	130.0		1.0
5	150.0		1.0

Nymph

Females have three instars whereas males have four instars (Table 2). Al-Hilal *et al.* (2012) observed that in case of *P. marginatus*, generally four and three nymphal instars took place in case of male and female mealybug, respectively, this finding is same as present result.

First instar nymph

At this stage male and female could not be distinguished. First instar nymph were very active. The length of the nymph was 0.41 ± 0.08 mm and the breadth was 0.25 ± 0.016 mm (Table 15). The average duration of 1st instar nymph was 4-6 days (Table 14). The first instar nymph is yellowish color (Plate 4). Miller and Miller (2002), reported that in 1st instar gender could not be determined and nymph is yellow color which is similar to the present findings. They also reported that the length and breadth of nymph was 0.4 mm and 0.2 mm which is close to the present findings. 1st instar nymph was 4.6 ± 0.52 days this result is in accordance with the present result (Chellappan *et al.*, 2006). Seni and Sahoo (2014) studied the biology of *P. marginatus* on sprouted potato throughout the year revealed that the duration of 1st instar nymphs ranged from 3-17 days and the present study finding is also lying between the ranges of this findings. The sexes of which could not be distinguished and this finding is same as the present result. The length of 1st instar nymph was 0.42 ± 0.074 mm and 0.024 ± 0.027 mm in width. This instar appears yellowish in color which is similar to the present findings (Al- *et al.*, 2012). Suganthi *et al.* (2011) reported that the period of 1st instar nymph was 4.00 ± 1.00 days and this finding is lying between the present studies.

Second instar nymph

The 1st instar nymph was moulted to 2nd instar nymph and casted their exuviae. The 2nd instar female was yellowish color (Plate 5) and male was pink color (Plate 7). Female length was 0.69 ± 0.03 mm and breadth was 0.44 ± 0.02 mm and the male was 0.70 ± 0.065 mm long and 0.38 ± 0.04 mm wide (Table 15). Average duration of 2nd instar female nymph was 3-5 days and the 2nd instar male nymph was 3-4 days (Table 14). Miller and Miller (2002) reported that the 2nd instar female was yellowish color and male was pink color which is similar to the present study. They also reported that 2nd instar female length and breadth was 0.7 mm and 0.04 mm which is close to the present study. But the 2nd instar male nymph 0.4 mm long and 0.02 mm wide which is somewhat different to the present result. Chellappan *et al.* (2006) confirmed the present result according to them 2nd instar male nymph is 0.75 ± 0.02 mm long and 0.44 ± 0.02 mm wide. They also observed that 2nd instar female nymph lasts for 4.2 ± 0.63 days and 2nd instar male nymph average duration was 4.3 ± 0.67 days. Al-Hilal *et al.* (2012) observed the length of 2nd instar female nymph was 0.6 ± 0.054 mm and 0.089 ± 0.04 mm in width. Body color appeared yellow in field conditions. Length of 2nd instar male nymph was 0.62 ± 0.044 mm and 0.09 ± 0.03 mm in width. Body looks like yellow in color during field observation. The length of 2nd instar male and female is close to the present result but the width shows different result and this might be due to the environmental

condition or host species. The period of 2nd instar nymph was 3.67 ± 0.58 days and this finding is lying between the present studies (Suganthi *et. al.*, 2011).



Plate 5. 1st instar nymph (30X)



Plate 6. 2nd Instar nymph female (30X)



Plate 7. 2nd Instar nymph male (30X)



Plate 8. 3rd Instar nymph female (30X)



Plate 9. 3rd Instar nymph male (30X)



Plate 10. Pupa (30X)



Plate 11. Adult female (60X)

Third instar nymph

The 2nd instar female moulted after 3-4 days and male was moulted after 2-4 days into 3rd instar nymph (Table 14). The 3rd instar male was pink color (Plate 9) and the female was yellowish color (Plate 8). Female length was 1 ± 0.14 mm and breadth was 0.72 ± 0.05 mm and male 2nd instar nymph was 0.85 ± 0.02 mm long and 0.41 ± 0.02 mm wide (Table 15). Miller and Miller (2002) reported that the 3rd instar female was yellowish color and male was pink color which is confirmed the present study. They also reported that 3rd instar female length and breadth was 1.1 mm and 0.7 mm and male was 0.9 mm and 0.4mm which is similar to the present result. Studies made by Chellappan, *et al.* (2006) reported that 3rd instar female and male average duration were 5.1 ± 0.32 days and 2.6 ± 0.52 days which confirmed the present study. Al-Hilal *et al.* (2012) observed the length of third instar nymph was 0.89 ± 0.11 mm and it was 0.12 ± 0.51 mm in width

Table 14. Duration of different life stages of *Paracoccus marginatus*

Life stage	Life duration (days)		Mean±SD	Observations number (n)
	Minimum	Maximum		
1 st instar gender is not identified	4.00	6.0	4.80±0.83	5
2 nd instar female	3.00	5.00	4.20±0.83	5
3 rd instar female	3.00	4.00	3.40±0.54	5
Adult female	8.00	13.0	10.0±2.00	5
2 nd instar male	3.00	4.00	3.60±0.54	5
3 rd instar male	2.00	4.00	2.80±0.83	5
Pupa	3.00	4.00	3.60±0.54	5
Adult male	2.00	3.00	2.40±0.54	5
Total lifespan (male)	14.00	21.00	17.2±2.86	5
Total lifespan of (female)	18.00	28.00	22.4±3.70	5
Oviposition	5.00	8.00	6.00±1.22	5
Incubation period	4.00	7.00	5.80±1.09	5

Body appears yellow in color during field observation. Length of third instar male nymph was 1.05 ± 0.23 mm and 0.156 ± 0.59 mm in width. Body looks like yellow in color during field observation. This result is somewhat different from the present result and this might be due to variation in environmental condition or host species. The period of third instar nymph was 5.00 ± 1.00 days and this finding is higher than the present study. This variation might be due to the environmental condition or host species (Suganthi *et al.*, 2011).

Table 15. Measurement of different life stages of *Paracoccus marginatus*

Stage	Length (mm)			Breadth (mm)		
	Min.	Max.	Av.±SD	Min.	Max.	Av.±SD
Egg	0.31	0.39	0.35±.03	0.13	0.18	0.14±.01
1 st instar gender is not identified	0.30	0.50	0.41±.08	0.21	0.30	0.25±.03
2 nd instar female	0.58	0.78	0.69±.03	0.37	0.49	0.44±.02
3 rd instar female	0.7	1.50	1± 0.14	0.67	0.80	0.72±.05
Adult female	1.60	2.30	1.94±.27	1.25	1.55	1.38±.05
2 nd instar male	0.50	0.85	0.7±.06	0.28	0.40	0.38±.04
3 rd instar male	0.80	0.90	0.85±.02	0.30	0.46	0.41±.02
Pupa	0.90	1.0	0.94±.04	0.20	0.30	0.26±.03
Adult male	0.90	1,10	0.98±.03	0.20	0.30	0.26±.01

Pupa

The 4th instar male is the pupal stage. The duration of this stage is 3-4days (Table 14). The length of pupa was 0.94 ±.04 mm and breadth was 0.26±.03 mm (Table 15). Pupal stage was occurred in a cocoon. The cocoon was made of whitish cotton like thread. The color of pupa was pink. The pupa was pink color and length and breadth of pupa was 1.0 mm and .03 mm which is in accordance with the present result (Miller and Miller, 2002). Average duration of pupa was 4.2±0.63 days which were almost similar to that determined by (Chellappan *et al.* 2006). Al-Hilal *et al.* (2012) observed that the length of fourth instar nymph was 0.98±0.075 mm and 0.02±0.49 mm in width. Body color appeared pink but occasionally yellowish. Only width is different from the present result but length and color shows similar result as present study.

Adult female

Body is yellowish color, dusted with mealy wax not thick enough to hide body color, without discrete bare areas on dorsum, with many short waxy filaments around body margin. Body was 1.94 ± 0.27 mm long and 1.38 ± 0.0 mm wide (Table 14). The antennal segment of this adult female was 8. The average duration of adult female was 8-13 days. The adult female was yellow color and 2.2 mm long and 1.4 mm wide which are close to the present findings (Miller and Miller, 2002). Chellappan *et al.* (2006) studied that the average duration of adult female was 17.6 ± 0.84 days which is somewhat different to the present result. The length of adult female was 2.08 ± 0.354 mm and it was 1 ± 0.063 mm in width. Body is yellow in color during field observation. The length of this finding is close to the present finding but the width is less than the present finding (Al-Hilal *et al.*, 2012). Suganthi *et al.* (2011) reported adult longevity of females was 20.33 ± 1.53 days which is higher than the present finding. This variation might be due to variation in environmental condition or host species.



Plate 12. Adult Male (90X)




Plate 13. Casted exuviae (60X)

Adult male

Adult male was winged (Plate 12). Antenna was 10 segmented. The length of the adult male was 0.98 ± 0.03 mm and the breadth 0.26 ± 0.01 mm. The wings are white color. The longevity of adult male was 2-3 days (Table 14).

Miller and Miller (2002) reported that the adult male was winged and length and breadth of male was 1.0 mm and 0.03 mm which is close to the present findings. Chellappan *et al.* (2006) observed that the average duration of adult male was 2.7 ± 0.48 days which are in accordance with the present result. The length of adult male was 1.5 mm and 0.5 mm in width. Body color appeared pink, but occasionally yellowish, these findings different from the present findings. This variation might be due to differential environmental conditions or host species (Al-Hilal *et al.*, 2012). Suganthi, *et al.* (2011) reported adult longevity of males was 1.67 ± 1.15 days and this finding is close to the present study.

Experiment 3. Damage assessment of papaya mealybug

Materials and method

The experiment was conducted at Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, during the period from June, 2017 to August, 2018. The papaya variety used in the experiment was "Red lady". This is a high yielding variety. The seeds were collected from krishibid nursery khamarbari, Dhaka.

Treatments of the experiment

The experiment contained two treatments and 10 replications.

T₁= Papaya plant covered with fine net and imidacloprid Admire 200SL @ 1ml/L of water sprayed at 7 days interval.

T₂ = Artificially infested by papaya mealybug (100 nymph of papaya mealybug were released plant⁻¹).



Plate 14. Experimental field (A), Seed sowing (B)



Plate 15. Preparation of land for transplant (A), transplanting of plant (B)



Plate 16. Infested plant (A), Healthy plant (B) after transplant



Plate 17. Experimental field after netting (A), Individual plant after netting (B)



Plate 18. Individual netted plant during spraying by insecticide (A & B)

Design and layout of the experiment

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) having two treatments with ten replications. An area of 25 m x 15 m was divided into two equal blocks. Each block was consisted of 10 plots where two treatments were allotted randomly. There were 20 unit plots in the experiment. The size of each plot was 1.8 m x 2 m. The distance between two blocks and two plots were kept 1 m and 0.5 m respectively.

Data collection

Plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹ petiole length, number of flowers,⁻¹ number of fruits cluster,⁻¹ fruit weight and yield of fruit were manually counted at three stages early mid and reproductive stage of the insects.

Data analysis

Percent damage due to infestation of mealybug was calculated by following formula:

$$\text{Percent damage} = \frac{T_1 - T_2}{T_1} \times 100$$

Here,

T₁ = Papaya plants covered with fine net and imidacloprid Admire 200SL @ 1ml/L of water sprayed at 15 days interval

T₂ = Artificially infested by papaya mealybug (100 nymphs of papaya mealybug were released plant⁻¹)

Statistical analysis

The recorded data on various parameters were statistically analyzed using SPSS statistical package program. The mean for all the treatments was calculated and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the characters were performed by paired t test.

Results and discussion

Plant height

The result indicated that papaya plant height increased progressively with the advancement of time and growth stages. At early stage, average plant height was 49.6 cm in T₁ and 23.80 cm in T₂. At middle stage, T₁ showed 83.6 cm per plant and T₂ showed 48.20 cm per plant. At later stage, average height of T₁ per plant was 114.70 cm and average height of T₂ per plant was 75.30 cm. The result indicated that T₁ showed the higher plant height and T₂ became stunted. This result indicate that at early, mid and late stage plant height was reduced by 52.01%, 42.6%, 52.25% respectively due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Figure.10).

Table 17. Average plant height, leaf number and petiole length at three plant growth stages

Treatments	Plant growth stages	Plant height in cm (Mean±SD)	Number of leaf (Mean±SD)	Petiole length in cm (Mean±SD)	Number of observations (n)
T ₁	Early	49.60±4.05	10.50±1.58	16.65±2.43	10
	Mid	83.60±4.97	21.00±3.91	35.85±5.18	10
	Late	114.70±11.64	23.10±6.36	55.61±9.28	10
T ₂	Early	23.80±2.34	3.20±1.47	7.52±1.29	10
	Mid	48.20±2.69	2.80±1.22	15.17±2.21	10
	Late	75.30±7.49	3.10±1.44	25.96±5.42	10





Plate 19. Managed papaya plant (A & B)



Plate

20. Infested papaya plant (A & B)

Leaf number

At early stage in case of T_1 plant leaf number was 10.5 and in case of T_2 plant which was infested with mealybug showed lower number of leaf that is 3.2. In mid stage T_1 plant leaf number increased to 21 rapidly but T_2 plant showed very poor number of leaf that was 2.8. In late stage T_1 plant also showed leaf number increasing that was 23 on the other hand leaf number of T_2 plant was 3.1 which was very lower than that of T_1 plant. This result

indicated that at early, mid and late stage leaf number was reduced by 69.5%, 86.6%, 86.08% respectively due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Table 16)

Petiole length

At early stage, average petiole length of T_1 was 16.65 cm and the average petiole length of T_2 was 7.52 cm. At mid stage, petiole length in T_1 was 35.85 cm and 15.17 cm in T_2 . At later stage, average petiole length of T_1 was 55.61 cm and average petiole length of T_2 was 25.96 cm. The result indicated that T_1 showed longer petiole length than T_2 . This result indicated that at early, mid and late stage petiole length was reduced by 54.83%, 57.68%, 53.31% respectively due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Table 16).

Flowers number

No of flowers in T_1 was 13.80 but T_2 showed very lower number of flowers. Average flowers number for T_2 was 1.90. This result indicated that in reproductive stage flowers number was reduced by 86.23% due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Table 16).




Figure 6. Average numbers of flowers per plant.

Fruit number

In case of T_1 average number of fruits per plant recorded was 12.30. On the other hand, the average number of fruits in T_2 recorded was 1.30. This result indicated that in reproductive stage fruit number was reduced by 89.43% due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Figure. 10)




Figure 7. Average numbers of fruits per plant.

Average fruit weight

Average weight of fruit in T_1 was 559.7 g on the contrary average weight fruit was 67.1 g in T_2 . This result indicated that fruit weight was reduced by 89.43% due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Figure.08)

Total fruit yield plant⁻¹

Total fruit yield per plant in T_1 was 6.88 kg on the contrary total fruit yield 0.087 kg in T_2 . This result indicated that total fruit yield per plant was reduced by 98% due to infestation of papaya mealybug (Figure. 08)




Figure 8. Average weight of individual fruit plant⁻¹.




Figure 9. Total fruit yield plant⁻¹.

Percent reduction of flowers, fruits, weight fruit⁻¹, fruit yield plant⁻¹ due to mealybug infestation

Due to infestation of mealybug, number flowers, number of fruits, weight of individual fruit, fruit yield were reduced by 86.23%, 89.43%, 88.01% and 98% respectively per plant.




Figure. 10 Percent reduction of flowers, fruits, weight fruit⁻¹, fruit yield plant⁻¹ due to mealybug

Research highlight/findings

- Papaya mealybug was found in all surveyed districts (Dhaka, Gazipur, Tangail, Narshindi, Rajshahi, Bogura, Joypurhat, Natore, Pabna, and Kustia).
- Percent infestation of plant, branch, flower and fruit was found as 32.31 to 46.16, 46.30 to 63.33, 26.16 to 53.66 and 16.29 to 75.98 respectively. Highest infestation found in Joypurhat district and lowest infestation was found in Rajshahi district.
- *Paracoccus marginatus* was the only species which was found from 10 selected districts.
- Papaya mealybug reduced 98% yield plant⁻¹.

B. Implementation Position

1. Procurement:

Description of equipment and capital items	PP Target		Achievement		Remarks
	Phy (#)	Fin (Tk)	Phy (#)	Fin (Tk)	
(a) Office equipment					N/A
(b) Lab & field equipment	Apparatus	205500	Bought	205500	
(c) Other capital items	- Laptop computer - Printer - Digital camera - Stereo microscope	50000/- 15000/- 25000/- 300000/-	Bought	50000/- 15000/- 25000/- 300000/-	

2. Establishment/renovation facilities:

Description of facilities	Newly established		Upgraded/refurbished		Remarks
	PP Target	Achievement	PP Target	Achievement	
					N/A
					N/A
					N/A
					N/A

3. Training/study tour/ seminar/workshop/conference organized:

Description	Number of participant			Duration (Days/weeks/ months)	Remarks
	Male	Female	Total		
(a) Training					N/A
(b) Workshop					N/A

C. Financial and physical progress

Fig in Tk

Items of expenditure/activities	Total approved budget	Fund received	Actual expenditure	Balance/ unspent	Physical progress (%)	Reasons for deviation
A. Contractual staff salary	407180/-	407180/-	407180/-	0		
B. Field research/lab expenses and supplies	461275/-	461275/-	460802/-	473/-		
C. Operating expenses	115000/-	103205/-	103205/-	0		
D. Vehicle hire and fuel, oil & maintenance	50000/-	50000/-	50000/-	0		
E. Training/workshop/seminar etc.						
F. Publications and printing	74920/-	15000/-	14920/-	80/-		
G. Miscellaneous	1825/-	1688/-	1600/-	88/-		
H. Capital expenses	389800/-	389800/-	389800/-	0		

D. Achievement of Sub-project by objectives: (Tangible form)

Specific objectives of the sub-project	Major technical activities performed in respect of the set objectives	Output(i.e. product obtained, visible, measurable)	Outcome(short term effect of the research)
To know the distribution of papaya mealybug in 10 selected districts.	- Survey of the selected districts - Mealybug species collection	Mealybug species were collected from 10 districts. Pest management to be taken in mealybug infested areas.	Timely and properly management action against mealybug infestation of the selected districts would be possible.
To determine the damage severity of papaya mealybug in major papaya growing districts of Bangladesh	Stems, leaves, inflorescence and fruits of papaya observed visually and number of insects were counted in infested twigs, branches, stems, leaves, inflorescences and fruits	Percent infestation of plant, branch, flower and fruit was found 32.31 to 46.16, 46.30 to 63.33, 26.16 to 53.66 and 16.29 to 75.98 respectively.	Integrated management of the mealybug pest could be possible based on the plant stages attacked by the pest.
To know the species diversity of papaya mealybug in Bangladesh.	Mealybug species were studied under microscope to observe morphological characters for identification	<i>Paracoccus marginatus</i> was the only species which was found from 10 selected districts	Pest management action can be taken only for one species <i>Paracoccus marginatus</i> . The information can be used by the researcher, scientists, academicians, extension personnel and the stakeholder of the country.
To assess the extent of loss caused by papaya mealybug	Damage assessment was done by comparing the production of infested plant with uninfested plant in field.	Papaya mealybug reduced 98% yield plant. ⁻¹	The results clearly indicate the papaya mealybug is a devastating pest of the papaya plant and thus appropriate management technique need to be developed to save papaya crop in Bangladesh.

E. Materials Development/Publication made under the Sub-project:

Publication	Number of publication		Remarks (e.g. paper title, name of journal, conference name, etc.)
	Under preparation	Completed and published	
Technology bulletin/ booklet/leaflet/flyer etc.			
Journal publication			
Information development			
Other publications, if any		<u>MS thesis</u> 1. Infestation intensity of papaya mealybug (<i>Paracoccus marginatus</i>) in farmer's field and its management 2. Biology and damage assessment of papaya mealybug, <i>paracoccus marginatus</i>	

F. Technology/Knowledge generation/Policy Support (as applied)**Generation of new knowledge that help in developing more technology in future**

- Papaya mealybug is exists 10 selected districts.
- Highest infestation was found in Joypurhat district and lowest was found in Rajshahi district.
- Mealybug species *Paracoccus marginatus* is only the species found in 10 selected districts.
- Papaya mealybug reduced 98% yield.

G. Information regarding Desk and Field Monitoring

Name with position	Organization
1.Dr. Md. Aziz Zilani Chowdhury, Member Director (Crop)	BARC, Farmgate, Dhaka
2.Mr. Md. Rafique Ahsan Chowdhury, Scientific officer, Crop Zoning Project	BARC, Farmgate, Dhaka

H. Lesson learned

The results suggest that papaya mealybug is a big threat to papaya cultivation and thus effective environmentally safe low cost management technique(s) for the pest are to be developed.

Signature of the Principal Investigator
Date
Seal

Counter signature of the Head of the
organization/authorized representative
Date
Seal